SCP-AC Meeting
2022-02-15, Tuesday
3-4PM

Join Zoom Meeting
https://ucdavis.zoom.us/j/91032201749?pwd=TklSQUphYSs5dkpsT3l3Q0I5SlhzQT09&from=addon
Meeting ID: 910 3220 1749
Passcode: 094504
+16699006833,,91032201749#,,,,*094504# US (San Jose)
+13462487799,,91032201749#,,,,*094504# US (Houston)

Stella Tang (UCB), TJ Kao (UCD), Sarah Wallbank (UCI), Kevin Balster (UCLA), Sarah Sheets (UCM), Yoko Kudo (UCR), Catherine Busselen (UCSB), Liz Miraglia (UCSD), Shi Deng (UCSD/SCP Ex Officio), Becky Culbertson (UCSD/SCP Ex Officio), Cat Lu (UCSF)

Absent: Marcia, Stella

Recorder: Kevin Balster

Announcements (All)
UCD is recruiting Head of Archival Processing and Electronic Resources Specialist
UCLA recruiting for Head of Licensing & E-Resources Acquisitions Team
UCSD is recruiting for an e-resources Librarian, Program Director for Collection Development & Management and some staff positions in ordering; also about ready to recruit a Chinese LP4 staff
SCP is working on getting Donal's position ready for recruitment
UCM recruiting for a new AUL, Donald Barclay retiring
UCR is recruiting for Director of Metadata services

Updates from SILS Operations Groups
Resource Management
RM-CMOS is meeting bi-weekly on Thursdays. We've started talking about the free reclamation project, some adjustments to merge/norm rules, and WorldCat updates. No major decisions yet. We are also waiting for our assigned Phase 4 Handoff items from Ops team and then will start working on our "Work Plan"

Acquisitions & E-Resources
Two groups will work closely. Brainstorming on how two groups will work together and figuring out Analytics reports for both groups. ER chair is Michelle Polchow and ACQ chair is Adriana Moran

SCP SILS Operations Update (Shi)

● The collection reconciliation/cleanup is ongoing. Lisa combined all cleanup reports into one consolidated one. CDLA staff is working on it, then SCP staff will work on it when CDLA marks a collection ready for SCP

● Still figuring out how to work with CDI, it's been difficult to have the records show up in the campus Primo instances. Having trouble getting CDI activated "link in record" collections to actually show up in campuses Primo. Activated Biodiversity collection portfolios (~one million CZ bib records) due to CDI issues. Would prefer CDI activation if we can get it to work.

OCLC/WorldCat reclamation for SCP (Shi)

● Need to get permissions updated on documents. Right now, it is owned by UCSC. Shi would like comments directly on the document.

● SCP would like to move to one symbol.

● UCSC comment: Why not manage SCP holdings for CZ records? Not scalable due to number of CZ records, some have OCLC# and some don't; some records have print OCLC#. Notes listing campuses with access will still appear in portfolios in Primo.

● Reason for managing NZ records - getting WorldCat record updates

● Large number of existing collections already do not have holdings managed in OCLC.

● Some collections (Early American imprints, Naxos) much easier to manage using CDI

● Can help by asking Gobi & Marcive to set up holdings, if there's one holding symbol. Can't do if 10 separate symbols

● Need campus feedback: Any campuses using -er holdings symbols for anything? If done for statistics, might be an alternative using Alma Analytics. TJ: can be done as long as "Available for" setting is correct

● Q: Can campuses see CDL holdings in WorldShare Collection Manager, or is it just local holdings? Becky will ask OCLC.

● Implications if users are using WorldCat instead of Primo. Do we have statistics of how many people do this? If limited by resources held by a campus, would they be able to see records if there's just a SCPER symbol on it?

● Local publishing will not contain titles managed solely in NZ. If there's overlapping IZ and NZ management, then it will. Comment passed on from UCLA: If an individual campus is publishing records from Alma to OCLC, do the publishing jobs include electronic NZ records which only have portfolios in the NZ? The ExLibris documentation indicates publishing is controlled by set management tags at the local institution level, but I don't know we would control that if the record is not in our IZ. If NZ records with portfolios applicable to all campuses are included in the publishing jobs, do we still need SCP to set OCLC holdings?

"Publishing to OCLC is processed at the local institution level since this process is used to expose institutions' physical holdings. Each institution in a collaborative network determines how bibliographic and holdings records are published based on their requirements, regardless of any links to corresponding records in the Network Zone. This means that the management tag – "Export to WorldCat" is managed by each institution, and is not controlled by the Network. Changes to the Network Zone, such as adding new bibliographic records, are independent of each institution's record management and OCLC processing. Publishing to OCLC, whether or not in a collaborative network, is determined and processed as described in When Records are Exported."

- Q: How would ILL be managed for Tier 1 & 2 resources if there's just one SCPER symbol?
- UCSB doesn't set OCLC holdings for electronic resources
- UCD adds holdings just for things with perpetual access
- Thousands of Chinese language records in Data Sync collections already have SCPER symbol (~20,000 periodicals, several thousands DDA records)
- Comments passed on from UCSC:
  - from ER manager: *"SCP is willing to consider OCLC offer,* ○ *Update the holdings attached to SCP cataloged records in Network Zone only, and* ==*we would not include CZ bib records for activated CZ collections"*== My question is why? Why not include the OCLC #s of CZ bibs that have them? If you exclude them, then it significantly decreases the benefit of having ER holdings set in OCLC at all. Yes, not all CZ bibs have OCLC#s, so the ER holdings in OCLC will never be 100% accurate, but to exclude all CZ records would be a mistake in my opinion. Particularly since we are and will be relying more on CZ collections for activating large ebook packages, which SCP acknowledges in this document. So that leads to the basic question of - **what do we need ER holdings in OCLC for at all?** The answer to this would then likely give reasons for why one SCPER symbol could work versus 10 separate.
  - Another response: The only thing I have to add is that I'm glad the "Adds new records when a matching one is not available" from OCLC's site is optional. I'm leery of taking them up on that offer, since we kinda saw what happened with the brief bibs. I think several campuses have had trouble with OCLC doing "fuzzy matching," so we definitely do not want that option.
  -
- TJ will attempt to get permissions updated on Google doc.

SCP Updates (Shi and Becky)
- Just posted SCP Update - February 2020 today with the updates on SCP activities

Other
N/A